



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address : COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/107,649	08/18/93	NILSSSEN	

B5M1/1114

OLE K. NILSSSEN
CAESAR DRIVE
BARRINGTON, IL 60010

MIS, D	EXAMINER
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	5
2502	
DATE MAILED:	

11/14/94

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

1. The communication filed 10/24/94 is informal/non-responsive for the reason(s) checked below and should be corrected. APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THE DATE OF THIS LETTER OR UNTIL THE EXPIRATION OF THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE SET IN THE LAST OFFICE ACTION (WHICHEVER IS LONGER) WITHIN WHICH TO CORRECT THE INFORMALITY.

- a. The amendment to claim(s) _____, filed _____, fails to comply with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.121 and is accordingly held to be non-responsive. A supplemental paper correcting the informal portions and complying with the rule is required.
- b. The paper is unsigned. A duplicate paper or ratification, properly signed, is required.
- c. The paper is signed by _____, who is not of record. A ratification or a new power of attorney with a ratification, or a duplicate paper signed by a person of record, is required.
- d. The communication is presented on paper which will not provide a permanent copy. A permanent copy, or a request that a permanent copy be made by the Office at applicant's expense, is required, see M.P.E.P. 714.07.

e. Other See the attached letter.

2. In accordance with applicant's request, THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE FROM THE OFFICE ACTION DATED _____ IS EXTENDED TO RUN _____ MONTH(S).

No further extension will be granted unless approved by the Commissioner. 37 C.F.R. 1.136 (b)

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119 which papers have been made of record in the file.
4. Other

DAVID MIS
EXAMINER
GROUP ART UNIT 252

Serial Number: 08/107,649
Art Unit: 2502

-2-

1. The communication filed on 10/24/94 is non-responsive to the prior Office action because part "5. b." of the Office action has not been responded to. Since the response appears to be bona fide, but through an apparent oversight or inadvertence failed to provide a complete response, applicant is required to complete the response within a time limit of one month from the date of this letter or within the time remaining in the response period of the last Office action, whichever is the longer. NO EXTENSION OF THIS TIME LIMIT MAY BE GRANTED UNDER EITHER 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) OR (b) BUT THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE SET IN THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MAY BE EXTENDED UP TO A MAXIMUM OF SIX MONTHS.

2. Also, to avoid further rejection of the claims in the next Office action, more references are attached at this time to alleviate Applicant's doubts about knowledge in the art. Applicant is urged to further amend the claims in accordance with the rejection of the last Office action.

3. The PTO-948 for the latest drawings filed is also enclosed.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Mis whose telephone number is (703) 308-4907.


DAVID MIS
EXAMINER
GROUP ART UNIT 252

dm
November 7, 1994